Landmarks in the History of Neurosurgery
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“If a physician makes a wound and cures a freeman, he shall receive ten pieces of silver, but only five if the patient is the son of a plebeian or two if he is a slave. However it is decreed that if a physician treats a patient with a metal knife for a severe wound and has caused the man to die—his hands shall be cut off.”

—Code of Hammurabi (1792–50 BC)

In the history of neurosurgery there have occurred a number of events and landmarks, and these will be the focus of this chapter. In understanding the history of our profession, perhaps the neurosurgeon will be able to explore more carefully the subsequent chapters in this volume to avoid having his or her “hands cut off.”

To identify major trends and events in neurosurgery, this chapter has been organized into a series of rather arbitrary historical time periods. In each period the key themes, personalities, and neurosurgical techniques developed and used are discussed.

Prehistoric Period: the Development of Trephination

Neurosurgeons are often considered the second oldest profession, the first being prostitution. Early man (and woman) recognized that to take down a foe or an animal, a direct injury to the head was the quickest means. Having said that, prehistoric surgery, compared with its modern successor, lacked several essentials in its early development: an understanding of anatomy, recognition of the concept of disease, and comprehension of the origin of illness in an organic system. Failure to grasp these vital principles retarded the practice of both medicine and surgery. The “modern” art of surgery, and in particular that of neurosurgery, was not recognized as a discrete specialty until the early 20th century. Neurosurgeons have now advanced from mere “hole drillers” to sophisticated computer nerds running complex 21st-century stereotaxic frameless guided systems.

In many museum and academic collections around the world are examples of the earliest form of neurosurgery—skull trephination.1–4 A number of arguments and interpretations have been advanced by scholars as to the origin and surgical reasons for this early operation—to date no satisfactory answers have been found. Issues of religion, treatment of head injuries, release of demons, and treatment of headaches have all been offered. Unfortunately, no adequate archaeological materials have surfaced to provide us with an answer. In reviewing some of the early skulls, the skills of these early surgeons were quite remarkable. Many of the trephined skulls show evidence of healing, proving that these early patients survived the surgery. Fig. 1.1 shows examples of two early (Peru circa AD 800) skulls that have been trephined and show evidence of premorbid bone healing. In the Americas the tumi was the most common surgical instrument used to perform a trephination, and some examples of these tumis are shown in Fig. 1.1. Fig. 1.2 presents a fine example of a well-healed gold inlay cranioplasty done by an early South American surgeon.

Included in many museum and private collections are examples of terra cotta and stone figures and other carvings that clearly depicted several common neurologic disorders. Commonly depicted by contemporary artisans were images of hydrocephalus, cranial deformation, spina bifida, and various forms of external injuries and scarring. We have added two examples from the Olmec and Mayan civilizations that demonstrate a young adult with achondroplasia and a young adult with severe kyphoscoliosis likely due to a myelomeningocele5 (Fig. 1.3).

Egyptian and Babylonian Medicine:

Embryonic Period

The Egyptian period, covering some 30 successive dynasties, gave us the earliest known practicing physician: Imhotep (I-em-her-p) (3000 BC). Imhotep (“he whom cometh in
shown that anatomic dissection was also performed in this period. An examination of the existing Egyptian papyri shows that the practice of medicine was based largely on magic and superstition. Therapeutic measures depended on simple principles, most of which allowed nature to provide restoration of health with little intervention. In treating skeletal injury, the Egyptians realized that immobilization was important and they prescribed splints for that purpose. Their materia medica was impressive, as their substantial pharmacopeias attest.

Written some 500 years after Hammurabi (1792–50 BC), and the oldest medical text believed to exist (including about 107 pages of hieratic writing), the Ebers papyrus is of interest for its discussion of contemporary surgical practice. The Edwin Smith papyrus, written after 1700 BC, is considered to be the oldest book on surgery per se and is a papyrus scroll 15 feet in length and 1 foot in width (4.5 m by 0.3 m; Fig. 1.4). The text contains a total of 48 cases, including those with injuries involving the spine and cranium. Each case is considered with a diagnosis followed by a formulated prognosis. Owing to the scholarly work of James Breasted, this papyrus has been translated from the original Egyptian to English. The original document remains in the possession of the New York Academy of Medicine.

Other than the isolated cases found in these papyrus fragments, little can be gleaned on the actual practice of neurosurgery. However, it is evident from these papyri that the Egyptian physician could classify a head and spine injury and would even elevate a skull fracture if necessary. The Edwin Smith papyrus (ca. 1700 BC) offers the first descriptions of the skull sutures, the presence of intracranial pulsations, and the presence of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The use of sutures in closing wounds and the applications of specifically designed head dressings for cranial injury appear here for the first time. The Egyptian physician’s understanding of the consequences of a
• Figure 1.3 (A) A Jadeite figure from the Olmec culture of Pre-Conquest Mexico dating from about 1500 BC showing a figure of an achondroplastic dwarf with likely arrested hydrocephalus. Individuals with some deformations such as achondroplasia were highly prized in the noble courts. (B) A west Mexico figure from the Pre-Conquest Nayarit area showing a severe kyphoscoliosis in a young adult with likely a primary problem of a myelomeningocele. (From the author’s collection.)

• Figure 1.4 A manuscript leaf from the Breasted translation of the Hearst papyrus discussing a head injury. (From Breasted JH. The Edwin Smith Papyrus. Published in Facsimile and Hieroglyphic Transliteration with Translation and Commentary. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1930; from the author’s collection.)
cervical spine injury is clear from case 31, in which the injured individual is described with quadriplegia, urinary incontinence, priapism, and ejaculation in a cervical spine subluxation. The understanding of head and spine injury was further developed in the Greek schools of medicine; here we see the first treatment principles being offered on the management and codification of head injury.

Greek and Early Byzantine Period: the Origins of Neurosurgery

The first formal development of neurosurgery occurred with the golden age of Greece. During the ancient period there were no surgeons who restricted themselves in stricto sensu to “neurosurgery.” Head injuries were plentiful then as the result of wars and internecine conflicts, as recorded by Herodotus and Thucydides as well as by Homer. The Greeks’ love of gladiator sports also led to serious head injuries. So sports and war were then, as now, a principal source of material for the study and treatment of head injury.

The earliest medical writings from this period are those attributed to Hippocrates (460–370 BC), that most celebrated of the Asclepiadae, and his schools (Fig. 1.5). To Hippocrates we owe the description of a number of neurologic conditions, many of them resulting from battlefield and sport injuries. Hippocrates was the first to develop the concept that the location of the injury to the skull was important in any surgical decision. The vulnerability of the brain to injury was categorized from lesser to greater by location, with injury to the bregma representing a greater risk than injury to the temporal region, which in turn was more dangerous than injury to the occipital region.9

Hippocrates wrote on a number of neurologic conditions. From his Aphorisms is one of the earliest descriptions of subarachnoid hemorrhage: “When persons in good health are suddenly seized with pains in the head, and straightway are laid down speechless, and breathe with stertor, they die in seven days, unless fever comes on.”10

Hippocrates provides the first written detailed use of the trephine. Insightful, he argued for trephination in brain contusions but not in depressed skull fractures (the prognosis was too grave) and cautioned that a trephination should never be performed over a skull suture because of the risk of injury to the underlying dura. Hippocrates demonstrated good surgical technique when he recommended “watering” the trephine bit while drilling to prevent overheating and injury to the dura.

Hippocrates had great respect for head injury. In the section on “Wounds of the Head,” Hippocrates warned against incising the brain, as convulsions can occur on the opposite side. He also warned against making a skin incision over the temporal artery, as this could lead to contralateral convulsions (or perhaps severe hemorrhage from the skin). Hippocrates had a simple understanding of cerebral localization and appreciated serious prognosis in head injury.

Herophilus of Chalcedon (fl. 335–280 BC) was an important early neuroanatomist who came from the region of the Bosporus and later attended the schools of Alexandria. Unlike his predecessors, Herophilus dissected human bodies in addition to those of animals—more than 100 by his own account. Herophilus was among the first to develop an anatomic nomenclature and form a language of anatomy. Among his contributions was tracing the origin of nerves to the spinal cord. He then divided these nerves into motor and sensory tracts. He made the important differentiation of nerves from tendons, which were often confused at that time. In his anatomic writings are the first anatomic descriptions of the ventricles and venous sinuses of the brain. From him comes the description of confluens sinuum or torcular Herophili. The first description of the choroid plexus occurs here, so named for its resemblance to the vascular membrane of the fetus. Herophilus described in detail the fourth ventricle and noted the peculiar arrangement at its base, which he called the “calamus scriptorius” because it “resembles the groove of a pen for writing.” Among his many other contributions was his recognition of the brain as the central organ of the nervous system and the seat of intelligence, in contrast to Aristotle’s cardiocentric view.11

All was not perfect with this anatomist, as Herophilus is also remembered for introducing one of the longest standing errors in anatomic physiology: the rete mirabile (Fig. 1.6),12 a structure present in artiodactyls but not in humans. This structure acts as an anastomotic network at the base of the brain. This inaccurately described structure later became dogma and important in early physiologic theories of human brain
function. The rete mirabile was later erroneously described in detail by Galen of Pergamon and further canonized by later Arabic and medieval scholars. Scholarship did not erase this anatomic error until the 16th century, when the new anatomic accounts of Andreas Vesalius and Berengario da Carpi clearly showed it did not exist in humans.

Entering the Roman era and schools of medicine, we come to Aulus Cornelius Celsus (25 BC to AD 50). Celsus was neither a physician nor a surgeon; rather, he can best be described as a medical encyclopedist who had an important influence on surgery. His writings reviewed, fairly and with moderation, the rival medical schools of his time: dogmatic, methodic, and empiric. As counsel to the emperors Tiberius and Gaius (Caligula), he was held in great esteem. His book, *De re Medicina*, is one of the earliest extant medical documents after the Hippocratic writings. His writings had an enormous influence on early physicians. So important were his writings that when printing was introduced in the 15th century, Celsus’ works were printed before those of Hippocrates and Galen.

Celsus made a number of interesting neurosurgical observations. *De re Medicina* contains an accurate description of an epidural hematoma resulting from a bleeding middle meningeal artery. Celsus comments that a surgeon should always operate on the side of greater pain and place the trephine where the pain is best localized. Considering the pain sensitivity of dura and its sensitivity to pressure, this has proved to be good clinical acumen. Celsus provided accurate descriptions of hydrocephalus and facial neuralgia. Celsus was aware that a fracture of the cervical spine can cause vomiting and difficulty in breathing, whereas injury of the lower spine can cause weakness or paralysis of the legs, as well as urinary retention or incontinence.

Rufus of Ephesus (fl. AD 100) lived during the reign of Trajan (AD 98–117) in the coastal city of Ephesus. Many of Rufus’ manuscripts survived and became a heavy influence on the Byzantine and medieval compilers. As a result of his great skill as a surgeon, many of his surgical writings were still being transcribed well into the 16th century. Rufus’ description of the membranes covering the brain remains a classic. Rufus clearly distinguished between the cerebrum and cerebellum and gives a credible description of the corpus callosum. He had a good understanding of the anatomy of the ventricular system with clear details of the lateral ventricle; he also described the third and fourth ventricles, as well as the aqueduct of Sylvius. Rufus also provided early anatomic descriptions of the pineal gland and hypophysis, and his accounts of the fornix and the quadrigeminal plate are accurate and elegant. He was among the first to describe the optic chiasm and recognized that it was related to vision. The singular accuracy of Rufus’ studies must be credited to his use of dissection (mostly monkeys) in an era when the Roman schools were avoiding hands-on anatomic dissection.

An individual of enormous influence was Galen of Pergamon (Claudius Galenus, AD 129–200). Galen was skilled as an original investigator, compiler, and codifier, as well as a leading advocate of the doctrines of Hippocrates and the Alexandrian school. As physician to the gladiators of Pergamon he had access to many human traumatic injuries.

His experience as a physician and his scientific studies enabled Galen to make a variety of contributions to neuroanatomy. Galen was the first to differentiate the pia mater and the dura mater. Among his contributions were descriptions of the corpus callosum, the ventricular system, the pineal and pituitary glands, and the infundibulum. Long before Alexander Monro’s *Secundus* (1733–1817) 18th-century anatomic description, Galen clearly described the structure now called the foramen of Monro. He also gave an accurate description of the aqueduct of Sylvius. He performed a number of interesting anatomic experiments, such as transection of the spinal cord, leading him to describe the resultant loss of function below the level of the cut. In a classic study on the pig, he sectioned the recurrent laryngeal nerve and clearly described that hoarseness was a consequence (Fig. 1.7). Galen provides the first recorded attempt at identifying and numbering the cranial nerves. He described 11 of the 12 nerves, but by combining several, he arrived at a total of only 7. He regarded the olfactory nerve as merely a prolongation of the brain and hence did not count it.

In viewing brain function Galen offered some original concepts. He believed the brain controlled intelligence, fantasy,
memory, and judgment. This was an important departure from the teaching of earlier schools, for example, Aristotle’s cardio-
centric view. Galen discarded Hippocrates’ notion that the brain is only a gland and attributed to it the powers of voluntary action and sensation.

With animal experimentation Galen recognized that cervical injury can cause disturbance in arm function. In a study of spinal cord injury, Galen detailed a classic case of what is today known as Brown-Séquard syndrome (ie, a hemiplegia with contralateral sensory loss in a subject with a hemisection of the cord). Galen’s description of the symptoms and signs of hydrocephalus is classic. This understanding of the disease enabled him to predict which patients with hydrocephalus had a poorer prognosis. Galen was much more liberal in the treatment of head injury than Hippocrates, arguing for more aggressive elevation of depressed skull fractures, fractures with hematomas, and comminuted fractures. Galen recommended removing the bone fragments, particularly those pressing into the brain. Galen was also more optimistic than Hippocrates about the outcome of brain injuries, commenting that “we have seen a severely wounded brain healed.”

Paul of Aegina (AD 625–690), trained in the Alexandrian school, is considered the last of the great Byzantine physicians. He was a popular writer who compiled works from both the Latin and Greek schools. His writings remained extremely popular, being consulted well into the 17th century. Besides his medical skills Paul was also a skilled surgeon to whom patients came from far and wide. He venerated the teachings of the ancients as tradition required but also introduced his own techniques with good results. This author is best remembered for his classic work, The Seven Books of Paul of Aegina, within which are excellent sections on head injury and the use of the trephine. Paul classified skull fractures in several categories: fissure, incision, expression, depression, arched fracture, and, in infants, dent. In skull fractures he developed an interesting skin incision which involved two incisions intersecting one another at right angles, giving the Greek letter X. To provide comfort for the patient, the ear was stuffed with wool so that the noise of the trephine would not cause undue distress. In offering better wound care he dressed it with a broad bandage soaked in oil of roses and wine, with care taken to avoid compressing the brain.

Paul of Aegina had some interesting views on hydrocephalus, which he felt was sometimes a result of a man-handling midwife. He was the first to suggest the possibility that an intraventricular hemorrhage might cause hydrocephalus:

The hydrocephalic affection … occurs in infants, owing to their heads being improperly squeezed by midwives during parturition, or from some other obscure cause; or from the rupture of a vessel or vessels, and the extravasated blood being converted into an inert fluid … (Paulus Aeginet).
An innovative personality, he designed a number of surgical instruments for neurosurgical procedures. Illustrated in his early manuscripts are a number of tools including elevators, raspatories, and bone-biter. An innovation for his trephine bits was a conical design to prevent plunging, and different biting edges were made for ease of cutting. Reviewing his wound management reveals some sophisticated insights—he used wine (helpful in antisepsis, although this concept was then unknown) and stressed that dressings should be applied with no compression to the brain. Paul of Aegina was later to have an enormous influence on Arabic medicine and in particular on Albucasis, the patriarch of Arabic/Islamic surgery.²⁰

**Arabic and Medieval Medicine: Scholarship With Intellectual Somnolence**

From approximately AD 750 to AD 1200 the major intellectual centers of medicine were with the Arabic/Islamic and Byzantine cultures. As Western Europe revived after AD 1000, a renewed study of surgery and medicine developed there as well.

**Arabic/Islamic Scholarship**

As we move out of the Byzantine period the Arabic/Islamic schools became paramount in the development of medicine and surgery. Thriving Arabic/Islamic schools undertook an enormous effort to translate and systematize the surviving Greek and Roman medical texts. Thanks to their incredible zeal, the best of Greek and Roman medicine was made available to Arabic readers by the end of the 9th century, an enormous contribution. Although a rigid scholastic dogmatism became the educational trend, original concepts and surgical techniques were clearly introduced during this period. In anatomic studies some of the more prominent figures actually challenged Galen and some of his clear anatomic errors.

Islamic medicine flourished from the 10th century through the 12th century. Among the most illustrious scholars/writers/physicians were Avicenna, Rhazes, Avenzoar, Albucasis, and Averroes. In the interpretative writings of these great physicians one sees an extraordinary effort to canonize the writings of their Greek and Roman predecessors. Islamic scholars and physicians served as guardians and academics of what now became Hippocratic and Galenic dogma. But having said this, there is clear evidence that these scholars and physicians continued original research and performed anatomic studies, a procedure not forbidden in either the Koran or Shareeh, a common Western view.

In reviewing this period, one finds that physicians rarely performed surgery. Rather, it was expected that the physician would write learnedly and speak *ex cathedra* from earlier but more “scholarly” writings. The menial task of surgery was assigned to an individual of a lower class—that is, to a surgeon. Despite this trend several powerful and innovative personalities did arise, and we will review their contributions.

In this era of Islamic medicine we see introduced a now common medical tradition—bedside medicine with didactic teaching. Surgeons, with rare exceptions, remained in a class of low stature. One unfortunate practice was the reintroduction of the Egyptian technique of using a red-hot cautery iron, applied to a wound, to control bleeding. In some cases hot cautery was used instead of the scalpel to create surgical incisions, and this practice clearly led to a burned and subsequent poorly healed wound (Fig. 1.8).

An important Islamic scholar of this period, as reflected in his writings, was Rhazes (Abu Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariya’ al-Razi, AD 845–925). Reviewing his works one sees clearly a scholarly physician, loyal to Hippocratic teachings, and learned in diagnosis. Although primarily a court physician and not a surgeon, he provided writings on surgical topics that remained influential through the 18th century.²² Rhazes was one of the first to discuss and outline the concept of cerebral concussion. Head injury, he wrote, is among the most devastating of all
injuries. Reflecting some insight, he advocated surgery only for penetrating injuries of the skull as the outcome was almost always fatal. Rhazes recognized that a skull fracture causes compression of the brain and thereby requires elevation to prevent lasting injury. Rhazes also understood that cranial and peripheral nerves have both a motor and sensory component. In designing a surgical scalp flap one needed to know the anatomy and pathways of the nerves so as to prevent a facial or ocular palsy.

Avicenna (Abu 'Ali al-Husayn ibn 'Abdallah ibn Sina, AD 980–1037), the famous Persian physician and philosopher of Baghdad, was known as the “second doctor” (the first being Aristotle). During the Middle Ages his works were translated into Latin and became dominant teachings in the major European universities until well into the 18th century. With the introduction of the printed book it has been commented that his *Canon (Qanun)* was the second most commonly printed book after the Bible. Avicenna disseminated the Greek teachings so persuasively that their influence remains an undercurrent to this day. In his major work, *Canon Medicinae (Qanun)*, an encyclopedic effort founded on the writings of Galen and Hippocrates, the observations reported are mostly clinical, bearing primarily on materia medica (Fig. 1.9). Avicenna's medical philosophy primarily followed the humoral theories of Galen along with the biologic concepts of Aristotle. Within Avicenna's *Canon (Qanun)* are a number of interesting neurologic findings, such as the first accurate clinical explanation of epilepsy, for which treatment consisted of various medications and herbs along with the shock of the electric eel. He describes meningitis and recognized it was an infection and inflammation of the meninges. It appears that Avicenna might have conducted anatomic studies inasmuch as he gives a correct anatomic discussion of the vermis of the cerebellum and the “tailed nucleus,” now known as the caudate nucleus. Avicenna introduced the concept of a tracheostomy using a gold or silver tube placed into the trachea and provided a number of innovative techniques for treating spine injuries and included some devices for stabilizing the injured spine. Avicenna also had some insightful thoughts on the treatment of hydrocephalus. He recognized that external hydrocephalus (fluid between the brain and dura) could be drained with low morbidity risk. However, true internal hydrocephalus was more dangerous to treat and best left alone or treated with herbals and medications. The *Canon (Qanun)* was clearly his greatest contribution, along with his collation and translation of Galen's collected works, a book that remained a dominant influence until well into the 18th century.

A personality often overlooked in neurosurgical history was a prominent Persian/Islamic physician by the name of Haly Abbas (Abdul-Hasan Ali Ibn Abbas Al Majusi) (AD 930–44). This writer from the Golden Age of Islamic medicine produced a work called *The Perfect Book of the Art of Medicine*, also known as the *Royal Book* (Fig. 1.10). Born and educated in Persia, a place he never left, it was here he produced his important writings on medicine. In his book he dedicated 110 chapters to surgical practice. A review of his work shows that his writings on spine injuries were essentially copied from the earlier Greek writers, in particular Paul of Aegina, and consisted mostly of external stabilization of spinal column injuries. Surgical intervention via a scalpel was rarely advocated. In his nineteenth discourse, Chapters 84 and 85, his management of depressed skull fractures is clearly presented. He also described the different types of fractures that can occur along with potential mechanisms of injury. He clearly appreciated that the dura should be left intact and not violated, the exception being those fractures where the skull bone had penetrated through the dural membrane, in which case these fragments needed to be removed. His technique of elevating a bone flap involved drilling a series of closely placed holes and then connecting them with a chisel. He showed some interesting consideration for the patient by advocating placing a ball of wool into the ears so as to block the sounds from the drilling. The head wound was then dressed with a wine-soaked dressing, the wine likely providing a form of antisepsis. These chapters also contain an interesting discussion about intraoperative brain swelling and edema, in which case the surgeon should look further for possible retained bone fragments and remove them. If later swelling occurred from too tight a head dressing, then it should be loosened. Unfortunately, Haly Abbas also advocated cephalic vein bleeding and inducing diarrhea for those who did not respond well; such primitive techniques were not to be abandoned until the mid-19th century.

In the Islamic tradition Albucasis (Abu al-Qasim Khalaf ibn al-Abbas Al-Zahrawi, AD 936–1013) was both a great
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Albucasis’ treatise on surgery is an extraordinary work—a rational, comprehensive, and well-illustrated text designed to teach the surgeon the details of each treatment, including the types of wound dressings to be used. Yet one can only wonder how patients tolerated some of the surgical techniques. For chronic headache a hot cautery was applied to the occiput, burning through the skin but not the bone. Another headache treatment required hooking the temporal artery, twisting it, placing ligatures, and then in essence ripping it out! Albucasis recognized the implications of spinal column injury, particularly dislocation of the vertebrae: in total subluxation, with the patient showing involuntary activity (passing urine and stool) and flaccid limbs, he appreciated that death was almost certain. Some of the methods he advocated for reduction of lesser spinal injuries, using a combination of spars and winches, were rather dangerous. With good insight he argued that bone fragments in the spinal canal should be removed. To provide comfort for the patient undergoing surgery he developed an “anesthesia” sponge in which active ingredients included opium and hashish; the sponge would be applied to the lips of the patient until the patient became unconscious.

For hydrocephalus (following the teachings of Paul of Aegina, he associated the disorder with the midwife grasping the head too roughly) Albucasis recommended drainage, although he noted that the outcome was almost always fatal. He attributed these poor results to “paralysis” of the brain from relaxation. With regard to the site for drainage, Albucasis noted...
that the surgeon must never cut over an artery, as hemorrhage could lead to death. In the child with hydrocephalus he would “bind” the head with a tight constricting head wrap and then put the child on a “dry diet” with little fluid—in retrospect a progressive treatment plan for hydrocephalus.25,26

An important figure in the history of surgery, and one who bridged the Islamic and medieval schools, was Serefeddin Sabuncuoglu (1385–1468). Sabuncuoglu was a prominent Ottoman surgeon who lived in Amasya, a small city in the northern region of Asia Minor, part of present-day Turkey. This was a glorious period for the Ottoman Empire and Amasya was a major center of commerce, culture, and art. While working as a physician at Amasya Hospital, and at the age of 83, he wrote a medical book titled Cerrahiyyetü’l-Haniyye [Imperial Surgery], which is considered the first colored illustrated textbook of Turkish medical literature.27–30 There are only three known copies of this original manuscript, two are in Istanbul and the third at the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris.27 First written in 1465 the book consists of three chapters covering 191 topics, all dealing with surgery. Each topic consists of a single, poetical sentence in which the diagnosis, classification, and surgical technique of a particular disease are described in detail. This book is unique for this period in that virtually all the surgical procedures and illustrations were drawn in color, even though drawings of this type were prohibited in the Islamic religion (Fig. 1.12).

### Medieval Europe

Constantinus Africanus (Constantine the African) (1020–87) introduced Islamic medicine to the school of Salerno and thus to Europe (Fig. 1.13). Constantine had studied in Baghdad, where he came under the influence of the Islamic/Arabic scholars. Later, he retired to the monastery at Monte Cassino and there translated Arabic manuscripts into Latin, some scholars say rather inaccurately. Thus began a new wave of translation and transliteration of medical texts, this time from Arabic back into Latin.31 His work allows one to gauge how much medical and surgical knowledge was lost or distorted by multiple translations, particularly of anatomic works. It is also notable that Constantine reintroduced anatomic dissection with an annual dissection of a pig. Unfortunately the anatomic observations that did not match those recorded in the early classical writings were ignored! As had been the theme for the previous 400 years, surgical education and practice continued to slumber.

Roger of Salerno (fl. 1170) was a surgical leader in the Salernitan tradition, the first writer on surgery in Italy. His Practica Chirurgiae offered some interesting surgical techniques.32 Roger introduced an unusual technique of checking for a tear of the dura (i.e., cerebrospinal fluid [CSF] leakage) in a patient with a skull fracture by having the patient hold his breath (Valsalva maneuver) and...
An unusually inventive medieval surgeon, Theodoric Borgognoni of Cervia (1205–98) is remembered as a pioneer in the use of aseptic technique—not the “clean” aseptic technique of today but rather a method based on avoidance of “laudable pus.” He made a number of attempts to discover the ideal conditions for good wound healing; he concluded that they comprised control of bleeding, removal of contaminated or necrotic material, avoidance of dead space, and careful application of a wound dressing bathed in wine—views that are remarkably modern for the times (Fig. 1.15).

Theodoric’s surgical work, written in 1267, provides a unique view of medieval surgery. He argued for meticulous (almost Halstedian!) surgical techniques. The aspiring surgeon was to train under competent surgeons and be well read in the field of head injury. Interestingly, he argued that parts of the brain could be removed through a wound with little effect on the patient. He appreciated the importance of skull fractures, especially depressed ones, recognizing that they should be elevated. He believed that punctures or tears of the dura mater could lead to abscess formation and seizures. To provide comfort for the patient about to undergo surgery, he developed his own “soporific sponge,” which contained opium, mandragora, hemlock, and other ingredients. It was applied to the nostrils until the patient fell asleep. He describes results in improved comfort that were better for both patient and surgeon (Figs. 1.16 and 1.17).
William of Saliceto (1210–77) might be considered the ablest surgeon of the 13th century. A professor at the University of Bologna, William of Saliceto wrote his *Chirurgia,* which many consider to be highly original, though it does carry the strong influence of Galen and Avicenna. To his credit William replaced the Arabic technique of incision by cautery with the surgical knife. He also devised techniques for nerve suture. In neurology, he recognized that the cerebrum governs voluntary motion and the cerebellum involuntary function.

Leonard of Bertapalia (1380?–1460) was a prominent figure in medieval surgery. Leonard came from a small town near Padua and established an extensive and lucrative practice there and in nearby Venice. He was among the earliest proponents of anatomic research—in fact, he gave a course of surgery in 1429 that included the dissection of an executed criminal. Leonard had a strong interest in head injury—he ended up devoting a third of his book to surgery of the nervous system. He considered the brain the most precious organ, regarding it as the source of voluntary and involuntary functions. He provided some interesting and accurate insights into the management of skull fracture. He argued that the surgeon should always avoid materials that might cause pus, always avoid the use of compressive dressing that might drive bone into the brain, and if a piece of bone pierces the brain, remove it!

Lanfranchi of Milan (c. 1250–1306), a pupil of William of Saliceto, continued his teacher’s practice of using a knife instead of cautery. In his *Cyrurgia Parva* he pioneered the use of suture for wound repair. His guidelines for performing trephination in skull fractures and “release of irritation” of dura are classic. He even developed a technique of esophageal intubation for surgery, a technique not commonly practiced until the late 19th century.

Guy de Chauliac (1298–1368) was the most influential surgeon of the 14th and 15th centuries and a writer of rare learning and fine historical sense. So important to surgical practice did Guy de Chauliac’s *Ars Chirurgica* become, it was copied and translated into the 17th century, a span of nearly 400 years. Most historians consider this surgical manual to be the principal didactic surgical text of this era.

The discussion of head injuries in his *Ars Chirurgica* reveals the breadth of his knowledge and intellect. He recommended that prior to doing cranial surgery the head should be shaved to prevent hair from getting into the wound and interfering with primary healing. When dealing with depressed skull fractures he advocated putting wine into the depression to assist healing—an interesting early form of antisepsis. He categorized head wounds into seven types and described the management of each in detail. Surgical management of a scalp wound requires only cleaning and débridement, whereas a compound depressed skull fracture must be treated by trephination and bone elevation. For wound repairs he advocated a primary suture closure and described good results. For hemostasis he introduced the use of egg albumin, thereby helping the surgeon to deal with a common and difficult problem.
Sixteenth Century: Anatomic Exploration

With the beginnings of the Renaissance, profound changes began to occur in surgical practices. To resolve medical and surgical practice issues, both physicians and surgeons reintroduced basic hands-on investigative techniques. Of profound influence was the now routine practice of anatomic dissection of humans. A series of prominent figures including Leonardo da Vinci, Berengario da Carpi, Johannes Dryander, Andreas Vesalius, and others led the movement. Anatomic errors, many enunciated since the Greco-Roman era, were corrected, and a greater interest in surgery developed. This radically inventive period and its personalities laid the foundations of modern neuroanatomy and neurosurgery.

Leonardo da Vinci (1452–1519) was the quintessential Renaissance man. Multitalented, recognized as an artist, an anatomist, and a scientist, Leonardo went to the dissection table so as to better understand surface anatomy and its bearing on his artistic creations. On the basis of these studies he founded iconographic and physiologic anatomy.41–43 Leonardo, being a well-read man, was familiar with the writings of Galen, Avicenna, Mondino, and others. From his knowledge of these writings he developed an understanding of their anatomic errors.

To Leonardo’s studies we owe a number of anatomic firsts. Leonardo provided the first crude diagrams of the cranial nerves, the optic chiasm, and the brachial and lumbar plexuses. Leonardo made the first wax casting of the ventricular system and in so doing provided the earliest accurate view of this anatomy. His wax casting technique involved removing the brain from the calvarium and injecting melted wax through a tube to allow the air out. (From Leonardo da Vinci. Quaderni d’Anatomia. Christiania: Jacob Dybwad; 1911–1916.)

In connection with his art studies he developed the concept of antagonism in muscle control. His experimental studies included sectioning a digital nerve and noting that the affected finger no longer had sensation, even when placed in a fire. Leonardo had great plans for publishing a stupendous opus on anatomy, which was to be issued in 20 volumes. The work did not appear owing to the early death of his collaborator, Mar cantonio della Torre, who died in 1509.44 From 1519, the year of Leonardo’s death, until the middle of the 16th century, his anatomic manuscripts circulated among Italian artists through the guidance of Francesco da Melzi, Leonardo’s associate. Sometime in the mid- to late 16th century, the anatomic manuscripts were lost and were rediscovered only in the 18th century by William Hunter.

Ambroise Paré (1510–90), a poorly educated and humble Huguenot, remains one of the greatest figures in surgical history; indeed, many considered him to be the father of modern surgery. Using the surgical material from a long military experience, he was able to incorporate a great deal of practical knowledge into his writings. Paré did an unusual thing in that he published his books in the vernacular, in this case French rather than Latin. His use of French, rather than Latin, allowed a wider dissemination of his writings. Owing to his surgical prowess and good results, Paré became a popular surgeon with royalty. The fatal injury sustained by Henri II of France was an important case, from which some insight into Paré’s understanding of head injury can be obtained. Paré attended Henri II at the time of the injury and was also present at the autopsy. Paré’s clinical observations of this case included headache, blurred vision, vomiting, lethargy, and decreased respiration. At autopsy the king was found to have developed a subdural hematoma. Using the clinical observations and the history, Paré postulated that the injury was due to a tear in one of the bridging cortical veins, and the autopsy confirmed his observations.

In reviewing Paré’s surgical works,45,46 the part on the brain best reflects a contemporary surgical practice. Book X is devoted to skull fractures. Paré reintroduced the earlier technique of elevating a depressed skull fracture by using the Val salva maneuver: “for a breath driven forth of the chest and prohibited passage forth, swells and lifts the substance of the brain and meninges where upon the frothing humidity and sanies sweat forth.”46 This maneuver also assisted in the expulsion of blood and pus (Fig. 1.19).

In reviewing Paré’s surgical techniques we find a remarkable advance over previous writers. Paré provides extensive discussions on the use of trephines, shavers, and scrapers. He advocates removing any osteomyelitic bone, incising the dura, and evacuating blood clots and pus—procedures previously carried out with great trepidation by less well-trained surgeons. Paré strongly advocated wound débridement, emphasizing that all foreign bodies must be removed. An important advance in surgery by Paré was the serendipitous discovery that boiling oil should not be poured into wounds, particularly gunshot wounds. While in battle he ran out of the boiling oil and instead he made a dressing of egg yolk, rose oil, and turpentine. With this new formulation he found greatly improved wound

• Figure 1.18 From Leonardo’s anatomic codices: using a wax casting design of his own, Leonardo was able to outline the ventricular system. The technique involved filling the ventricles with a warm wax and an egress tube to allow the air out. (From Leonardo da Vinci. Quaderni d’Anatomia. Christiania: Jacob Dybwad; 1911–1916.)
healing and dramatically reduced morbidity and mortality. He also discarded the use of hot cauter to control bleeding, sub-
stituting the use of ligatures, which enhanced healing and 
significantly reduced blood loss, particularly in amputations.

In 1518 a remarkable book by Giacomo Berengario da 
Carpi (1460–1530) appeared.47 This book came about because 
of Berengario’s success in treating Lorenzo de’ Medici, Duke 
of Urbino, who had received a serious cranial injury and sur-
vived. In a dream that occurred shortly after this episode, 
Berengario was visited by the god Hermes Trismegistus (Thrice-
Great Mercury), who encouraged him to write a treatise on 
head injuries. As a result of this dream Berengario’s *Tractatus* 
appeared and was the first printed work devoted solely to treat-
ing injuries of the head. Not only are original surgical tech-
niques discussed, but also illustrations of the cranial instruments 
for dealing with skull fractures are provided (Fig. 1.20). Beren-
gario introduced the use of interchangeable cranial drill bits 
for trephination. Included in the text are a number of case 
histories with descriptions of the patients, methods of treat-
ment, and clinical outcomes. This work remains our best 16th-
century account of brain surgery.

Berengario, besides being a skilled surgeon, was also an 
excellent anatomist. Through Berengario we are provided with 
one of the earliest and most complete discussions of the cere-
bral ventricles. From his anatomic studies Berengario devel-
oped descriptions of the pineal gland, choroid plexus, and 
lateral ventricles. His anatomic illustrations are believed to be 
the first published from actual anatomic dissections rather than 
historical caricatures. Of enormous significance for this period 
were his anatomic writings, which were among the earliest to 
challenge the dogmatic beliefs in the writings of Galen and 
others.

An important book, *Anatomiae*, is most likely the earliest 
to deal with “accurate” neuroanatomy and appeared in 1536 
(with an expanded version in 1537). The book was written by 
a professor of medicine from Marburg, Johannes Dryander 
(Johann Eichmann, 1500–60).48,49 This work contains a series 
of full-page plates showing successive Galenic dissections of 
the brain (Fig. 1.21). Dryander starts with a scalp dissection in 
layers. He continues a series of “layers,” removing the skullcap. 
He next illustrates the meninges, brain, and posterior fossa. 
The first illustration of the metopic suture appears in one of 
the skull figures. Important to Dryander’s studies was the per-
formance of public dissections of the skull, dura, and brain, 
the results of which he details in this monograph. One image 
depicts the ventricular system and the cell doctrine theory in 
which imagination, common sense, and memory are placed 
within the ventricles. There are a number of inaccuracies in the 
work, reflecting medieval scholasticism, but despite these 
errors this book should be considered the first textbook of 
neuroanatomy.

Volcher Coiter (1534–76) was an army surgeon and city 
physician at Nuremberg who had the good fortune to study 
under Fallopio, Eustachius, and Aldrovandi. These scholars
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**Figure 1.20** (A) Woodcut device from the title page of Berengario da Carpi's *Tractatus de Fractura Calvae*. (B) Berengario's design for a trephine brace. (C) Berengario's trephines reveal a number of sophisticated designs for bone cutting and angles to avoid plunging into the brain. (From Berengario da Carpi J. *Tractatus de Fractura Calvae Sive Cranei*. Bologna: Hieronymus de Benedictus; 1518.)

**Figure 1.21** (A) Illustration from Dryander's *Anatomiae* showing his layered dissection of the scalp and head. Also illustrated is the cell doctrine theory in which function of the brain rested in the ventricular system, not in the brain. (B) Illustration from Dryander's *Anatomiae* showing a dissection of the scalp, skull, and brain plus the skull sutures seen in the skullcap. (From Dryander J. *Anatomiae*. Marburg: Eucharius Cerucomus; 1537.)
nach, an educator of traditional Galenic anatomy. Günther quickly recognized Vesalius’ skills and described him as a gifted dissector, one with extraordinary medical knowledge, and a person of great promise. Despite the laudatory praise, Vesalius quickly came to the conclusion, from his Paris medical studies, that many errors in basic anatomy existed. Following the theme of earlier 16th-century anatomists such as Berengario da Carpi, Vesalius strongly argued that anatomic dissection must be performed by the professor, not by prosectors. The common practice was to have a prosector, typically an uneducated surgeon, probe the body under the direction of the professor, who read from a Galenic anatomic text. Errors of text that did not agree with the dissection findings were merely overlooked. Vesalius’ anatomic descriptions came from his own observations rather than an interpretation of the writings of Galen and others. Considering the staunch orthodox Galenic teaching of the time, he clearly faced some serious opposition from his teachers.

Vesalius’s anatomic studies culminated in a masterpiece, *De Humani Corporis Fabrica*, published in 1543. In Book VII is the section on the anatomy of the brain that presents detailed anatomic discussions along with excellent engravings (Fig. 1.23). Vesalius noted that “heads of beheaded men are the most suitable [for study] since they can be obtained immediately after execution with the friendly help of judges and prefects.”

Vesalius was primarily a surgeon, and the section of text on the brain and the dural coverings discusses mechanisms of injury and how the various membranes and bone have been designed to protect the brain. Interestingly, close examination of several of the illustrated initial letters in the text shows little cherubs performing trephinations! For neurosurgeons Vesalius made an interesting early contribution to the understanding of hydrocephalus: In Book 1 is a discussion of “Heads of other shape” wherein he provides the following early description of a child with hydrocephalus:

> [At Genoa a small boy is carried from door to door by a beggar woman, and was put on display by actors in noble Brabant in Belgium, whose head, without any exaggeration, is larger than two normal human heads and swells out on either side.]

Using a combination of surgical skill and a Renaissance flair for design, Giovanni Andrea della Croce (1509?–80) produced some very early engraved scenes of neurosurgical operations. The scenes are impressive to view, as the surgeries were performed in family homes, typically in the bedrooms. Most of the neurosurgical procedures illustrated were trephinations (Fig. 1.22). Croce also provides a series of newly designed trephines with safety features to prevent plunging. An unusual innovation involved his trephine drill, which was rotated by means of an attached bow, copying the style of a carpenter’s drill. Various trephine bits with conical designs are proposed and illustrated. Included in his armamentarium are illustrations of surgical instruments that include some cleverly designed elevators for lifting depressed bone. In reviewing Croce’s book we find it is mainly a compilation of earlier authorities from Hippocrates to Albucasis, but his recommendations for treatment and his instrumentation are surprisingly modern.

A discussion of surgery in the 16th century would not be complete without mention of the great anatomist and surgeon Andreas Vesalius (1514–64). Clearly a brilliant mind, he early on rejected the anatomic views of his Galenic teachers. Vesalius studied in Paris under Johann Günther (Guenther) of Ander-
In works by Vesalius and Berengario hands-on dissection by the professor clearly corrects many of the anatomical errors long ensconced in the literature. Without these fundamental changes in both thought and concept, the development of neuroanatomy would not have been possible. Without accurate neuroanatomy, how can one practice neurosurgery? As we will see, nearly 300 more years of surgical art, skill, and anatomy are needed to let that happen.

Seventeenth Century: Origins of Neurology

In the 16th century anatomy was the main theme, and with the 17th century we see the development of a period of spectacular growth in science and medicine. Individuals such as Isaac Newton, Francis Bacon, William Harvey, and Robert Boyle made important contributions in physics, experimental design, the discovery of the circulation of blood, and physiologic chemistry. For the first time open public communication of scientific ideas came with the advent of scientific societies (eg, the Royal Society of London, the Académie des Sciences in Paris, and the Gesellschaft Naturforschenden Ärzte in Germany). These societies and the individuals associated with them dramatically improved scientific design and education along with unparalleled exchanges of scientific information.

Within this century came the first intense exploration of the human brain. Leading the many investigators was Thomas Willis (1621–75), after whom the circle of Willis is named.
A fashionable London practitioner, educated at Oxford, Willis published his *Cerebri Anatome* in London in 1664 (Fig. 1.26). With its publication we have now the first accurate anatomic study of the human brain. Willis was assisted in this work by Richard Lower (1631–91). In Chapter VII Lower demonstrates by laboratory experimentation that when parts of the “circle” were tied off, the anastomotic network still provided blood to the brain. Lower noted, “if by chance one or two [of its arteries] should be stopped, there might easily be found another passage instead of them” (see Fig. 1a, p. 27). The striking brain engravings were drawn and engraved by the prominent London personality, Sir Christopher Wren (1632–1723), who was often present at Willis’ dissections. Most surgeons are not aware that the eponym was not applied to the circle until Albrecht Haller used it in his 18th-century bibliography on anatomy.57,58

To Thomas Willis we owe the introduction of the concept of neurology, or the doctrine of neurons, here using the term in a purely anatomic sense. The word neurology did not enter general use until Samuel Johnson defined it in his dictionary of 1765, in which the word neurology now encompassed the entire field of anatomy, function, and physiology. The circle of Willis was also detailed in other anatomic works of this period by Vesling,59 Casserius,60 Fallopius,61 and Humphrey Ridley.62

Another important work on the anatomy of the brain appeared under the authorship of Humphrey Ridley (1653–1708). The book was unique in that it was written in the vernacular (English), not the usual academic Latin, and became widely circulated (Fig. 1.27).62 Ridley was educated at
Interestingly a number of the instruments illustrated by Scultetus and in the presentation of tools from antiquity to the present. The importance lies in the exact detail of surgical instrument design. Scultetus details a variety of surgical procedures dealing with injuries of the skull and brain. The text is further enhanced by some of the best 17th-century illustrations detailing surgical technique (Fig. 1.28).

James Yonge (1646–1721) was among the first since Galen to argue emphatically that “wounds of the brain are curable.” Appropriately enough, Yonge’s remarkable little monograph was titled Wounds of the Brain Proved Curable. Yonge was a Plymouth naval surgeon, remembered mostly for his flap amputation technique. In his monograph Yonge gives a detailed account of a brain operation on a child aged 4 years with extensive compound fractures of the skull from which brain tissue issued forth. The surgery was a success, and the child lived. Yonge also included reports on more than 60 cases of brain wounds that he found in the literature, beginning with Galen, which had been cured.

Eighteenth Century: Adventurous Surgeons

The 18th century was a period of intense activity in the medical and scientific world. Chemistry as a true science was propelled forward by the work of Priestley, Lavoisier, Volta, Watt, and many others. Thomas Sydenham, William Cullen, and Herman Boerhaave reintroduced clinical bedside medicine, a practice essentially lost since the Byzantine era. Diagnostic examination of the patient advanced in this period; especially notable is Auenbrugger’s introduction of percussion of the chest. Withering introduced the use of digitalis for cardiac problems. Edward Jenner provided the world with cowpox inoculation for smallpox, beginning the elimination of the terror of this scourge.

The 18th century produced some clever and adventurous surgeons. Percival Pott (1714–88) was the greatest English...
surgeon of the 18th century. His list of contributions, several of which apply to neurosurgery, is enormous. His work Remarks on That Kind of Palsy of the Lower Limbs Found to Accompany a Curvature of the Spine describes the condition now known as Pott disease. His clinical descriptions are excellent, with the gibbous and tuberculous condition of the spine well outlined. Interestingly, he failed to associate the spinal deformity with the paralysis. He also described an osteomyelitic condition of the skull with a collection of pus under the peri-cranium, now called the Pott puffy tumor. Pott felt strongly that these lesions should be trephined to remove the pus and decompress the brain.

In the ongoing argument over whether to trephine, Pott was a strong proponent of intervention (Figs. 1.29 and 1.30). In his classic work on head injury, Pott appreciated that symptoms of head injury were the result of injury of the brain and not of the skull. He made an attempt to differentiate between “compression” and “concussion” injury of the brain:

The reasons for trepanning in these cases are, first, the immediate relief of present symptoms arising from pressure of extravasated fluid; or second, the discharge of matter formed between the skull and dura mater, in consequence of inflammation; or third, the prevention of such mischief, as experience has shown may most probably be expected from such kind of violence offered to the last mentioned membrane. …

In the … mere fracture without depression of bone, or the appearance of such symptoms as indicate commotion, extravasation, or inflammation, it is used as a preventative, and therefore is a matter of choice, more than immediate necessity.

Pott’s astute clinical observations, bedside treatment, and aggressive management of head injuries made him the first modern neurosurgeon. His caveats, presented in the preface to his work on head injury, still hold today.

John Hunter (1728–93) was one of the most remarkable and talented figures in English surgery and anatomy. His knowledge and skills in anatomy, pathology, and surgery and his dedication to his work allowed him to make a number of important contributions. Hunter received minimal formal education, though Percival Pott was an early teacher and mentor. In his book A Treatise on the Blood, Inflammation, and Gun-Shot Wounds, Hunter drew on his years of military experience (he served as a surgeon with the British forces during the Spanish campaign of 1761–63). Unfortunately, the section on skull fractures took up only one paragraph and offered nothing original. However, his discussion of vascular disorders was quite advanced, with an appreciation of the concept of collateral circulation. His views on this subject grew out of his surgical experimentation on a buck whose carotid artery he tied off; he noted the response to be development of collateral circulation.

Benjamin Bell (1749–1806) was among the most prominent and successful surgeons in Edinburgh. He was one of the first to emphasize the importance of reducing pain during surgery. His text, A System of Surgery, is written with extraordinary clarity and precision, qualities that made it one of the most popular surgical texts in the 18th and 19th centuries. In the section on head injury there is an interesting and important discussion of the differences between concussion, compression, and inflammation of the brain—each requiring different

---

• Figure 1.29 An 18th-century trephination illustrated in Diderot’s Encyclopédie. In this case the surgeon can rest his chin on the trephination handle and thereby is able to apply additional pressure to the trephine bit. The surrounding instruments are various bone elevators, bone rongeur, and cautery applicators. (From Diderot D. Encyclopédie ou Dictionnaire Raisonnés Des Sciences Des Arts et Des Métiers. Paris: 1751–1752.)

• Figure 1.30 A trephination set designed by Percival Pott that includes a tripod-type system. To elevate a depressed skull fracture he designed a trephine screw that was driven into the fracture and then used a lever action to elevate the fracture. (From Pott P. Observations on the Nature and Consequences of Wounds and Contusions of the Head, Fractures of the Skull, Concussions of the Brain. London: C. Hitch and L. Hawes; 1760.)
modes of treatment. Bell stressed the importance of relieving compression of the brain, whether it be caused by a depressed skull fracture or pressure caused by pus or blood—a remarkably aggressive approach for this period (Fig. 1.31). Bell was among the first to note that hydrocephalus is often associated with spina bifida. His treatment of a myelomeningocele involved placing a ligature around the base of the myelomeningocele sac and tying it down. Bell detailed the concept of an epidural hematoma and its symptoms; he argued for a rapid and prompt evacuation. His discussion of the symptoms of brain compression caused by external trauma is classic:

A great variety of symptoms … indicating a compressed state of the brain [among which] … the most frequent, as well as the most remarkable, are the following: Giddiness; dimness of sight; stupefaction; loss of voluntary motion; vomiting; an apoplectic stertor in the breathing; convulsive tremors in different muscles; a dilated state of the pupils, even when the eyes are exposed to a clear light; paralysis of different parts, especially of the side of the body opposite to the injured part of the head; involuntary evacuation of the urine and faeces; an oppressed, and in many case an irregular pulse. (volume 3, chapter 10, section 3)70

Lorenz Heister (1683–1758) produced another of the most popular surgical textbooks of the 18th century. A German surgeon and anatomist (a common combination at the time), he published his *Chirurgie* in 1718. It was subsequently translated into a number of languages and circulated widely. The book’s popularity was due to the wide range of surgical knowledge it communicated and its many valuable surgical illustrations. In the treatment of head injury Heister remained conservative with regard to trephination (Fig. 1.32). In wounds involving only concussion and contusion, he felt trephination to be too dangerous. In this preantiseptic era, considering the additional risk of infection and injury to the brain, this was not too far off the mark:

XXVII. But when the Cranium is so depressed, whether in Adults or Infants, as to suffer a Fracture, or Division of its Parts, it must instantly be relieved: the Part depressed, which adheres, after cleaning the Wound, must be restored to its Place, what is separated must be removed, and the extravasated Blood be drawn off through the Aperture. (p. 100)71

Heister introduced a number of techniques that proved most useful. To control scalp hemorrhage he used a “crooked needle and thread” that when placed and drawn tight reduced bleeding from the wound edges. He also pointed out that when the assistant applied pressure to the skin, edge bleeding could also be reduced. In spinal injuries Heister was quite aggressive, advocating exposure of the fractured vertebrae and removing fragments that damaged the spinal marrow, even though he recognized that grave outcomes of such attempts were not uncommon.

Francois-Sauveur Morand (1697–1773) described one of the earliest operations for abscess of the brain. Morand had a patient, a monk, who developed an otitis media and subsequently mastoiditis with temporal abscess.72 He trephined over the carious bone and discovered pus. He placed a catgut wick within the wound, but it continued to drain. He reopened the wound and this time opened the dura (a very adventurous maneuver for this period) with a cross-shaped incision and found a brain abscess. He explored the abscess with his finger, removing as much of the contents as he could, and then instilled balsam and turpentine into the cavity. He placed a silver tube for drainage, and as the wound healed he slowly withdrew the tube. The abscess healed, and the patient survived.

Domenico Cotugno (1736–1822) was a Neapolitan physician and was the first to describe cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and sciatica (Fig. 1.33). He performed a number of experiments...
on the bodies of some 20 adults. Using the technique of lumbar puncture, he was able to demonstrate the characteristics of CSF. In De Ischiade Nervosa Commentarius, he demonstrated the “nervous” origin of sciatica, differentiating it from arthritis, with which it was generally equated at that time. Cotugno discovered the pathways of CSF, showing that it circulates in the pia-arachnoid interstices and flows through the brain and spinal cord via the aqueduct and convexities. He also described the hydrocephalus \textit{ex vacuo} seen in cerebral atrophy.

In 1709 a small, and now rare, monograph by Daniel Turner (1667–1741) appeared.\footnote{The book was titled \textit{A Remarkable Case in Surgery: Wherein an Account Is Given of an Uncommon Fracture and Depression of the Skull, in a Child About Six Years Old; Accompanied With a Large Abscess or Aposteme Upon the Brain} (Fig. 1.34). This rather poignant piece of writing is perhaps our best view of the treatment of brain injuries in the early 18th century.}

The case is most disturbing to read, written in the frank and somewhat verbose style of this period. Turner was “called in much haste, to a Child about the Age of Six Years … wounded by a Catstick … He was taken up for dead and continued speechless for some time.” Turner examined the head, found a considerable depression, and arrived at the prognosis that the child was in great danger. He sent for the barber to shave the head; while waiting for the barber he opened a vein in the arm to bleed the child, taking about 6 ounces. The patient regained consciousness, vomiting and complaining of a headache. Turner chose to delay surgery. But finding the child the next day still vomiting, restless, and hot, he decided on an exploration. Through a typical X incision he found “the Bones were beat thro’ both meninges into the substance of the brain.” He elevated the bone and found “a cavity sufficient to contain near two Ounces of Liquor.” Postoperatively the patient was awake with “a quick pulse, thirst and headache … but no vomiting. He was very sensible.” He visited the child the next day and found him still feverish but without other symptoms. He removed the dressings and realized the extent of the fracture, which had been only partially elevated. He now took a trephine, removed what bone he thought it was safe to remove, and applied a clyster.

A careful report of the operation follows, including a description of a piece of bone that flew across the room upon elevation. Four pieces of bone were removed. The dura now pulsated nicely. The wound was cleaned out with soft sponges soaked in claret. The patient was carried to bed and refreshed with “two or three Spoonfulls of his Cephalic Julep.” Despite all this effort and although the patient was doing well, upon removing the dressings “an offensive smell” and fetid matter were noted. A consultant’s advice was to redress the wound. Instead, Turner opened the right jugular vein and bled 6 ounces. A vesicatory was also applied to the neck and an emollient clyster given in the evening. The next day Turner was still not satisfied with what was happening, and so he reexplored the wound, venting a great deal of purulent matter.

This patient was to have several additional explorations for removal and drainage of pus. Cannulas were placed for
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\caption{A child with a severe skull fracture who survived his injury. Illustrated here are the various trephinations done and bone fractures removed along the lower margin. (From Turner D. \textit{A Remarkable Case in Surgery: Wherein an Account Is Given of an Uncommon Fracture and Depression of the Skull, in a Child About Six Years Old; Accompanied With a Large Abscess or Aposteme Upon the Brain. With Other Practical Observations and Useful Reflections Thereupon. Also an Exact Draught of the Case, Annex’d. And for the Entertainment of the Senior, but Instruction of the Junior Practitioners, Communicated. London: R. Parker; 1709.)}
\end{figure}
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In the first half of the century, improvements in surgical technique and neuropathology helped prepare the way for these innovations. John Abernethy (1764–1831) succeeded John Hunter at St. Bartholomew’s Hospital and followed his tradition of experimentation and observation. Abernethy’s surgical technique did not differ from that of his predecessors; what is remarkable in his *Surgical Observations* is the thoughtful, thorough discussion of all the mechanisms of injury to the brain and spinal cord. He performed one of the earliest known procedures for removal of a painful neuroma. The neuroma was resected and the nerve reanastomosed; the pain resolved and sensation returned, proving the efficacy of the anastomosis.

Sir Charles Bell (1774–1842), a Scottish surgeon and anatomiast, was a prolific writer. He was educated at the University of Edinburgh and spent most of his professional career in London. He is remembered for many contributions to the neurosciences, including the differentiation of the motor and sensory components of the spinal root. He wrote a number of works on surgery, many of which were beautifully illustrated with his own drawings. These hand-colored illustrations were unrivaled at the time in detail, accuracy, and beauty (Fig. 1.35). In describing a trephination Bell details the technique as he practiced in 1821:

> Let the bed or couch on which the patient is lying be turned to the light—have the head shaved—put a wax-cloth on the pillow—let the pillow be firm, to support the patient’s head. Put tow or sponge by the side of the head—let there be a stout assistant to hold the patient’s head firmly, and let others put their hands on his arms and knees.

> The surgeon will expect the instruments to be handed to him in this succession—the scalpel; the rasparatory; the trephine; the brush, the quill, and probe, from time to time; the elevator, the forceps, the lenticular. (p. 6)

During the 18th century there was a remarkable change in the approach to surgery of the brain. Surgeons became much more aggressive in their management of head injuries and the clinical symptoms associated with brain injury were better recognized. Unfortunately in many cases the outcomes remained poor because of infection and a lack of understanding on how to control this morbidity. As anesthesia was not yet well developed the best surgeons were the “fastest” and most adroit with their hands.

Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries: Anesthesia, Antisepsis, and Cerebral Localization

During the 19th century three major innovations made possible great advances in surgery. Anesthesia allowed patients freedom from pain during surgery, antisepsis and aseptic technique enabled the surgeon to operate with a greatly reduced risk of postoperative complications caused by infection, and the concept of cerebral localization helped the surgeon make the diagnosis and plan the operative approach.

In the first half of the century, improvements in surgical technique and neuropathology helped prepare the way for these innovations. John Abernethy (1764–1831) succeeded John Hunter at St. Bartholomew’s Hospital and followed his tradition of experimentation and observation. Abernethy’s surgical technique did not differ from that of his predecessors; what is remarkable in his *Surgical Observations* is the thoughtful, thorough discussion of all the mechanisms of injury to the brain and spinal cord. He performed one of the earliest known procedures for removal of a painful neuroma. The neuroma was resected and the nerve reanastomosed; the pain resolved and sensation returned, proving the efficacy of the anastomosis.

Sir Charles Bell (1774–1842), a Scottish surgeon and anatomiast, was a prolific writer. He was educated at the University of Edinburgh and spent most of his professional career in London. He is remembered for many contributions to the neurosciences, including the differentiation of the motor and sensory components of the spinal root. He wrote a number of works on surgery, many of which were beautifully illustrated with his own drawings. These hand-colored illustrations were unrivaled at the time in detail, accuracy, and beauty (Fig. 1.35). In describing a trephination Bell details the technique as he practiced in 1821:

> Let the bed or couch on which the patient is lying be turned to the light—have the head shaved—put a wax-cloth on the pillow—let the pillow be firm, to support the patient’s head. Put tow or sponge by the side of the head—let there be a stout assistant to hold the patient’s head firmly, and let others put their hands on his arms and knees.

> The surgeon will expect the instruments to be handed to him in this succession—the scalpel; the rasparatory; the trephine; the brush, the quill, and probe, from time to time; the elevator, the forceps, the lenticular. (p. 6)

During the 18th century there was a remarkable change in the approach to surgery of the brain. Surgeons became much more aggressive in their management of head injuries and the clinical symptoms associated with brain injury were better recognized. Unfortunately in many cases the outcomes remained poor because of infection and a lack of understanding on how to control this morbidity. As anesthesia was not yet well developed the best surgeons were the “fastest” and most adroit with their hands.

Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries: Anesthesia, Antisepsis, and Cerebral Localization

During the 19th century three major innovations made possible great advances in surgery. Anesthesia allowed patients freedom from pain during surgery, antisepsis and aseptic technique enabled the surgeon to operate with a greatly reduced risk of postoperative complications caused by infection, and the concept of cerebral localization helped the surgeon make the diagnosis and plan the operative approach.

In the first half of the century, improvements in surgical technique and neuropathology helped prepare the way for these innovations. John Abernethy (1764–1831) succeeded John Hunter at St. Bartholomew’s Hospital and followed his tradition of experimentation and observation. Abernethy’s surgical technique did not differ from that of his predecessors; what is remarkable in his *Surgical Observations* is the thoughtful, thorough discussion of all the mechanisms of injury to the brain and spinal cord. He performed one of the earliest known procedures for removal of a painful neuroma. The neuroma was resected and the nerve reanastomosed; the pain resolved and sensation returned, proving the efficacy of the anastomosis.
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> The surgeon will expect the instruments to be handed to him in this succession—the scalpel; the rasparatory; the trephine; the brush, the quill, and probe, from time to time; the elevator, the forceps, the lenticular. (p. 6)
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colored and pathologically correct. Among the best known are those of Robert Hooper, Jean Cruveilhier, Robert Carswell, and Richard Bright (Fig. 1.36). Cruveilhier's atlas is the most dramatic in appearance with illustrations of the brain and spine that were unparalleled for the period.78

Jean Cruveilhier (1791–1874) was the first occupant of a new chair of pathology at the University of Paris. He had at his disposal an enormous collection of autopsy material provided by the dead house at the Salpêtrière and the Musée Dupuytren. Using material from these sources he made a number of original descriptions of pathologies of the nervous system, including spina bifida (Fig. 1.37), spinal cord hemorrhage, cerebellopontine angle tumor, disseminated sclerosis, muscular atrophy, and perhaps the best early description of meningioma. This work was published in a series of fascicles issued over 13 years.79 The detailed descriptions by Cruveilhier and others provided the basis for the later cerebral localization studies. An understanding of tumors and their clinicopathologic effects on the brain was critical for the later development of neurosurgery and the neurologic examination. Harvey Cushing was the first to call attention to Cruveilhier's accuracy in pathology and clinical correlation. He used portions of Cruveilhier's works in his treatise on acoustic neuromas and his classic meningioma monograph.79–81
**Anesthesia**

Surgeons have tried various methods of reducing sensibility to pain over the centuries. Mandrake, cannabis, opium and other narcotics, the “soporific sponge” (saturated with opium), and alcohol had all been tried. In 1844, Horace Wells, a dentist in Hartford, Connecticut, introduced the use of nitrous oxide in dental procedures; however, the death of one of his patients stopped him from investigating further. At the urging of W.T.O. Morton, J.C. Warren used ether on October 16, 1846, to induce a state of insensibility in a patient, during which a vascular tumor of the submaxillary region was removed. James Y. Simpson, who preferred chloroform, introduced in 1847 as an anesthetic agent, undertook similar efforts in the United Kingdom. There were many arguments about which was the best agent. However, the result was that the surgeon did not need to restrain the patient or operate at breakneck speed, and patients were free of pain during the procedure.

**Antisepsis**

Even with the best surgical technique, 3-minute (!) trepanations, the patient often died postoperatively of suppuration and infection. Fever, purulent material, brain abscess, and draining wounds all defeated the best surgeons. For many centuries surgeons dreaded opening the dura mater for fear of inviting disaster from infection. Until the issue of infection could be dramatically reduced, no surgeon comfortably approached surgery of the head or spine.

Utilizing the bacterial concepts developed by Louis Pasteur, Joseph Lister introduced antisepsis in the operating room (Fig. 1.38). For the first time a surgeon, using aseptic technique and a clean operating theater, could operate on the brain with a reasonably small likelihood of infection. The steam sterilizer, the carbolic sprayer, the scrub brush, and Halsted’s rubber gloves truly heralded a revolution in surgery.

**Cerebral Localization**

To make a diagnosis of a brain lesion or brain injury was not meaningful until the concept of localization was formulated (Fig. 1.39). During the 1860s several investigators, including G.T. Fritsch and E. Hitzig, as well as Paul Broca, introduced the concept of cerebral localization—that each part of the brain was responsible for a particular function (Fig. 1.40).

Paul Broca (1824–80) conceived the idea of speech localization in 1861. His studies were based on the work by Ernest Auburtin (1825–93), who had as a patient a gentleman who attempted suicide by shooting himself through the frontal region. He survived but was left with a defect in the left frontal bone. Through this defect Auburtin was able to apply a spatula to the anterior frontal lobe and with pressure abolish speech, which returned when the spatula was removed. Auburtin immediately recognized the clinical implications. Broca further localized speech in an epileptic patient who was aphasic and could only emit the utterance “tan,” for which the patient
carefully recording his observations. These clinical observations supported his postulated functional localizations in the brain. The ethics of his studies would be called into question today.

Advances in Surgical Techniques

Some prominent surgical personalities of the 19th century led to some major advances in surgical technology, particularly in neurosurgery. Until the end of the 19th century, neurosurgery was not a subspecialty; general surgeons, typically with a large black top hat, bewhiskered, and always pontifical, performed brain surgery.

Sir Rickman Godlee (1859–1925) removed one of the most celebrated brain tumors, the first to be successfully diagnosed by cerebral localization, in 1884.  

Bartholow later performed an amazing clinical study correlating these types of pathologic findings. In 1874 he took under his care a woman named Mary Rafferty who had developed a large cranial defect from infection, which had in turn exposed portions of each cerebral hemisphere. Through these defects he electrically stimulated the brain; unfortunately she subsequently died of meningitis. Bartholow records that “two needles insulated were introduced into left side until their points were well engaged in the dura mater. When the circuit was closed, distinct muscular contractions occurred in the right arm and leg.” Bartholow stimulated a number of areas, carefully recording his observations. These clinical observations supported his postulated functional localizations in the brain. The ethics of his studies would be called into question today.
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surgery with some mild weakness and did well, only to die a month later from infection. Bennett, the physician who had made the diagnosis and localization, along with J. Hughlings Jackson and David Ferrier, two prominent British neurologists, observed this landmark operation. All of these physicians were extremely interested in whether the cerebral localization studies would provide necessary results in the operating theater. The results were good; this operation remains a landmark in the progress of neurosurgery.

Sir William Gowers (1845–1915) was one of an extraordinary group of English neurologists. Using some of the recently developed techniques in physiology and pathology, he made great strides in refining the concept of cerebral localization. Gowers was noted for the clarity and organization of his writing; his neurologic writings remain classics. These investigative studies allowed surgeons to operate on the brain and spine for other than desperate conditions.

Sir Victor Alexander Haden Horsley (1857–1916) was an English general surgeon who furthered the development of neurosurgery during its embryonic period. Horsley began his experimental studies on the brain in the early 1880s, during the height of the cerebral localization controversies. Horsley worked with Sharpey-Schäfer in using faradic stimulation to analyze and localize motor functions in the cerebral cortex, internal capsule, and spinal cord of primates. In a classic study with Gotch, done in 1891, using a string galvanometer, he showed that electrical currents originate in the brain. These experimental studies showed Horsley that cerebral localization was possible and that operations on the brain could be conducted safely using techniques adapted from general surgery. In 1887, working with William Gowers, Horsley performed a laminectomy on Gowers’ patient, Captain Golby, a 45-year-old army officer. Golby was slowly losing function in his legs from a spinal cord tumor. Gowers localized the tumor by examination and indicated to Horsley where to operate; the tumor, a benign “fibromyxoma” of the fourth thoracic root, was successfully removed.

Horsley made a number of technical contributions to neurosurgery, including the use of beeswax to stop bone bleeding. He performed one of the earliest craniectomies for craniostenosis and relief of increased intracranial pressure. For patients with inoperable tumors he developed the decompressive craniectomy. For treatment of trigeminal neuralgia Horsley advocated sectioning the posterior root of the trigeminal nerve for facial pain relief. Using his technical gifts, he helped Clarke design the first useful stereotactic unit for brain surgery. Although never used in human surgery, the Horsley-Clarke stereotactic frame inspired all subsequent designs.

Sir Charles A. Ballance (1856–1936) was an English surgeon who received his medical education at University College, London. Ballance was an early pioneer in neurosurgery, performing the first mastoidectomy with ligation of the jugular vein. Ballance was one of the first to graft and repair the facial nerve. In his monograph on brain surgery Ballance sets forth many ideas that were quite modern. The book came from a series of Lettsomian Lectures given in 1906 in which are contained a series of three lectures on cerebral membranes, tumors, and abscesses. Ballance’s treatise recognized and described chronic subdural hematoma with great accuracy and detailed an operative success. Additional successful operations included one for subdural hygroma. Ballance routinely used the recently introduced lumbar puncture for cases of head injury and suppurative meningitis. An interesting and apparent cure of congenital hydrocephalus was recorded by Ballance using a technique that included ligation of both common carotid arteries. In his treatment of brain abscesses Ballance urged...
evacuation of the abscess with drainage recommended; in some cases he felt that complete enucleation of an abscess was advisable. Ballance devoted 243 pages of his monograph to a discussion of brain tumors and noted a wide operative experience with 400 such lesions. One of his most important cases, and one only recently recognized in the literature, involved a patient who was reported well in 1906 from whom he removed “a fibrosarcoma from the right cerebellar fossa” (ie, an acoustic neuroma) in 1894; this would appear to be one of the earliest surgeries for an angle tumor. In a profound comment on surgical operations for tumors, Ballance had a hopeful outlook: “I am convinced that the dawn of a happier day for these terrible cases has come.”

William Macewen (1848–1924), a Scottish surgeon, successfully accomplished a brain operation for tumor on July 29, 1879 (Fig. 1.44). Using meticulous technique and the recently developed neurologic examination, he localized and removed a periosteal tumor from over the right eye of a 14-year-old. The patient went on to live for 8 more years, only to die of Bright disease; at autopsy no tumor was detected. By 1888, Macewen had operated on 21 neurosurgical cases with only 3 deaths and 18 successful recoveries, a remarkable change from earlier series. Macewen considered his success to be the result of excellent cerebral localization and good aseptic techniques. Macewen’s monograph on pyogenic infections of the brain and their surgical treatment, published in 1893, was the earliest to deal with the successful treatment of brain abscess. His
morbidity and mortality statistics are as good as those in any series reported today.

Joseph Pancoast (1805–82) produced one of the most remarkable 19th-century American monographs on surgery in the era just before the introduction of antisepsis and anesthesia. (Fig. 1.45). Pancoast spent his academic career in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where he was physician and visiting surgeon to the Philadelphia Hospital. He later became professor of surgery and anatomy at Jefferson’s Medical College in 1838. Pancoast’s Treatise has 80 quarto plates comprising 486 lithographs with striking surgical details. These plates remain some of the most well-executed and graphical illustrations of different surgical techniques. The lithographs are exceedingly graphic, so much so that religious purists often removed numbers 69 and 70 because of their depiction of the female genitalia. The section on head injury and trauma clearly demonstrates the techniques of trephination and the elevation of depressed fractures. Pancoast was one of the first to devise an operation for transecting the fifth cranial nerve for trigeminal neuralgia.

Fedor Krause (1857–1937) was a general surgeon whose keen interest in neurosurgery made him the father of German neurosurgery. His three-volume atlas on neurosurgery, Surgery of the Brain and Spinal Cord, published in 1909–12, was one of the first to detail the techniques of modern neurosurgery; it has since been through some 60 editions (Fig. 1.46). Krause, like William Macewen, was a major proponent of aseptic technique in neurosurgery. His atlas describes a number of interesting techniques. The “digital” extirpation of a meningioma is graphically illustrated. A number of original neurosurgical techniques are reviewed, including resection of scar tissue for treatment of epilepsy. Krause was a pioneer in the extradural approach to the gasserian ganglion for treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. He pioneered the transfrontal craniotomy in addition to transection of the eighth cranial nerve for severe tinnitus. To deal with tumors of the pineal region and posterior third ventricle, he pioneered the supra-cerebellar-infratentorial approach. Krause was the first to suggest that tumors of the cerebellopontine angle (eg, acoustic neuromas) could be operated on safely. Interestingly, Krause retired to Rome, where he gave up neurosurgery and continued his greatest love, playing the piano. When asked what he would most like to be remembered for, it was not as a neurosurgeon but rather as a classical pianist.

Antony (Antoine) Chipault (1866–1920) has remained an obscure historical figure in neurosurgery; nevertheless he was one of the pioneers and was once considered the potential father of French neurosurgery. Chipault was named at birth Antonie Maxime Nicolas Chipault on July 16, 1866, in the town of Orleans, France. His father was a surgeon, and he began his medical studies in Paris at the age of 18. He initially qualified as a gynecologist but later became interested in neurology. He became initially interested in the anatomy of the spine and published a now rare seminal monograph, Études de Chirurgie Médullaires. In 1891 he began working with Professor Duplay at the Hotel Dieu under whom he became interested in cranio-cerebral pathology. In 1894 he published his classic work on surgery of the spine and spinal cord. Chipault published a series of papers on the brain and spinal cord including writings on Pott disease, osteoplastic craniotomy, spinal trauma, posterior root section for pain, and surgical treatment of brain tumors and hemorrhage, among other subjects. He made a number of technical innovations in neurosurgery, including introducing the removal of the underlying dura in meningiomas, a new laminectomy technique, plus development of small clamps for closing a scalp incision. He treated hydrocephalus by tapping the ventricles through a burr hole and proposed a scheme of cranietomies for treatment of craniosynostosis (Fig. 1.47). He pioneered the use of wires and steel splints in the stabilization of the spine in trauma and deformities. In 1894 his surgical masterpiece appeared, Chirurgie Opératoire du Système Nerveux, an extremely popular work that was translated into English, Spanish, Italian, German, Romanian, and Serbo-Croatian. He also introduced one of the first journals devoted to surgery of the spine and brain, Les Travaux de Neurologie Chirurgicale. Despite this illustrious career, he dropped out of sight in 1905 ceasing all writing and works in neurosurgery. The cause is thought to be the onset of paraplegia, the etiology of which remains unknown. Chipault moved with his family to the Jura Mountains near Orchamps. He died in 1920 at the age of 54 in total obscurity, a state in which he remains.
• Figure 1.46 (A) By the beginning of the 20th century we find several talented general surgeons doing neurosurgery. Illustrated here is Fedor Krause’s exposure for a cerebellopontine exposure. (B) Krause’s technique for an osteoplastic flap in a posterior fossa craniotomy. (C) Krause illustrating his approach for a cerebellopontine tumor. The image on the right clearly outlines the anatomy of an acoustic neuroma and its relationship to the facial nerve. (From Krause F, Haubold H, Thorek M, translators. Surgery of the Brain and Spinal Cord Based on Personal Experiences. New York: Rebman; 1909–1912.)
CHAPTER 1  Landmarks in the History of Neurosurgery

The first American monograph devoted to brain surgery was written not by a neurosurgeon but by the New York neurologist Allen Starr (1854–1932) (Fig. 1.48). Starr was Professor of Nervous Diseases at Columbia University and an American leader in neurology. He trained in Europe, working in the laboratories of Erb, Schultze, Meynert, and Nothnagle, experiences that gave him a strong foundation in neurologic diagnosis. Working closely with Charles McBurney (1845–1913), a general surgeon, he came to the realization that brain surgery not only could be done safely but was necessary in the treatment of certain neurologic problems (Fig. 1.49).

He summarized his views in the preface:

Brain surgery is at present a subject both novel and interesting. It is within the past five years only that operations for the relief of epilepsy and of imbecility, for the removal of clots from the brain, for the opening of abscesses, for the excision of tumors, and the relief of intra-cranial pressure have been generally attempted. … It is the object of this book to state clearly those facts regarding the essential features of brain disease which will enable the reader to determine in any case both the nature and situation of the pathological process in progress, to settle the question whether the disease can be removed by surgical interference, and to estimate the safety and probability of success by operation.

In 1923 Harvey Cushing, reviewing one of his own cases, commented about Allen Starr:

I am confident that if Allen Starr, in view of his position in neurology and his interest in surgical matters, had taken to the scalpel rather than the pen we would now be thirty years ahead in these...
Harvey William Cushing (1869–1939) is considered the father of American neurosurgery (see Fig. 1.49). Educated at Johns Hopkins under one of the premier general surgeons, William Halsted (1852–1922), Cushing learned meticulous surgical technique from his mentor. As was standard then, Cushing spent time in Europe; he worked in the laboratories of Theodore Kocher in Bern, investigating the physiology of CSF. These studies led to his important monograph in 1926 on the third circulation.\(^\text{116}\) It was during this period of experimentation that the cerebral phenomenon of increased intracranial pressure in association with hypertension and bradycardia was defined; it is now called the “Cushing phenomenon.” While traveling through Europe he met several important surgical personalities involved in neurosurgery, including Macewen and Horsley. They provided the impetus for him to consider neurosurgery as a full-time endeavor (Fig. 1.50).

Cushing’s contributions to the literature of neurosurgery are too extensive to be listed in this brief chapter. Among his most significant work is a monograph on pituitary surgery published in 1912.\(^\text{117}\) This monograph inaugurated a prolific career in pituitary studies. Cushing syndrome was defined in his final monograph on the pituitary published in 1932.\(^\text{118}\) In a classic monograph, written with Percival Bailey in 1926, Cushing brought a rational approach to the classification of brain tumors.\(^\text{119}\) His monograph on meningiomas, written with Louise Eisenhardt in 1938, remains a classic.\(^\text{120}\)

Cushing retired as Moseley Professor of Surgery at Harvard in 1932. By the time he completed his 2000th brain tumor operation,\(^\text{121}\) he had unquestionably made some preeminent contributions to neurosurgery, based on meticulous, innovative surgical techniques and the effort to understand brain function from both physiologic and pathologic perspectives. An ardent bibliophile, Cushing spent his final years in retirement as Stirling Professor of Neurology at Yale, where he put together his extraordinary monograph on the writings of Andreas Vesalius.\(^\text{122}\) Cushing’s life has been faithfully recorded by his close friend and colleague John F. Fulton.\(^\text{123}\)

Walter Dandy (1886–1946), who trained under Cushing at Johns Hopkins, made a number of important contributions to neurosurgery. Based on Luckett’s serendipitous finding of air in the ventricles after a skull fracture,\(^\text{124}\) Dandy developed the technique of pneumoencephalography (Fig. 1.51).\(^\text{125,126}\) This technique provided the neurosurgeon with the opportunity to localize the tumor by analyzing the displacement of air in the ventricles.\(^\text{127}\) A Philadelphia neurosurgeon, Charles Frazier, commented in 1935 on the importance of pneumoencephalography and the difference it made in the practice of neurosurgery:
Only too often, after the most careful evaluation of the available neurologic evidence, no tumor would be revealed by exploration, the extreme intracranial tension would result in cerebral herniation to such an extent that sacrifice of the bone flap became necessary, and subsequently the skin sutures would give way before the persistent pressure, with cerebral fungus and meningitis as inevitable consequences. But injection of air has done away with all these horrors. The neurologist has been forced to recognize its table consequences. But injection of air has done away with all these horrors. The neurologist has been forced to recognize its inevitable place in correct intracranial localization and frequently demands its use by the neurosurgeon.128

Dandy was an innovative neurosurgeon, far more aggressive in style and technique than Cushing. He was the first to show that acoustic neuromas could be totally removed.129,130 He devoted a great deal of effort to the treatment of hydrocephalus.131–133 Dandy introduced the endoscopic technique of removing the choroid plexus to reduce the production of CSF.134 He was among the first to treat cerebral aneurysms by obliterating them using snare ligatures or metal clips.135 His monograph on the third ventricle and its anatomy remains a standard to this day, with anatomic illustrations that are among the best ever produced.136

In the field of spinal surgery, two important American figures appeared in the first quarter of the 20th century: Charles Elsberg (1871–1948), professor of neurosurgery at the New York Neurological Institute, and Charles Frazier (1870–1936), professor of surgery at the University of Pennsylvania. Toward the end of the 19th century, studies on the spine had been initiated by J. L. Corning, who had shown that lumbar puncture could be performed safely for diagnosis.137 H. Quincke went on to popularize this procedure; these early studies encouraged the development of spinal surgery.138,139

From a surgical experience developed during World War I, Charles Frazier decided on a career in neurosurgery. Charles Frazier’s 1918 textbook on spinal surgery was the most comprehensive work on the subject available140; he summarized much of the existing literature and established that spinal surgery could be performed safely.

From New York City came Charles Elsberg, another pioneer in spinal surgery. Elsberg’s techniques were impeccable and led to excellent results. By 1912 he had reported on a series of 43 laminectomies, and by 1916 he had published the first of what were to be three monographs on surgery of the spine.141,142 In the treatment of intramedullary spinal tumors, Elsberg introduced the technique of a first-stage myelotomy. By waiting some time afterward, this allowed the intramedullary tumor to deliver itself, so then, at a second-stage procedure, the tumor was resected143 (Fig. 1.52). He worked with a fierce intensity and was always looking for new techniques. Working with Cornelius Dyke, a neuroradiologist at the New York Neurological Institute, he treated spinal glioblastomas with directed radiation in the operating room after the tumor had been exposed! These procedures were performed with the patients receiving only local anesthesia. During the half-hour therapy, while the radiation was being delivered, the surgeon and assistants stood off in the distance behind a glass shield.144

Leo Davidoff (1898–1975) was one of the prodigies of 20th-century neurosurgery (Fig. 1.53). Starting from humble origins in Lithuania, the son of a cobbler, he immigrated to the United States with his eight siblings. As a teen Davidoff worked in a factory to support his family; the factory’s manager admired his skill and dedication and sponsored his education, leading to his graduation from Harvard University in 1916. He completed his medical degree at Harvard in 1922 as an AOA (the national honor society for graduating medical students) member. Davidoff trained under Harvey Cushing and became one of his most popular students, not always an easy achievement with Cushing’s personality. When Cushing was once asked who he would allow to operate on him for a brain tumor, his response was “Well, I guess I would have Davey [Davidoff] do it.” Davidoff initially joined the staff of the New York Neurological Institute with Charles Elsberg in 1929. Here he began his seminal studies on the normal anatomy seen in pneumoencephalograms utilizing the hundreds of pneumoencephalograms performed at the Neurological Institute. In 1937 he issued a classic monograph with Cornelius Dyke (1900–43), The Normal Encephalogram.145 This work and a later publication with Bernard Epstein (1908–78), The Abnormal Encephalogram (1950),146 became two of the most important neuroradiologic texts, remaining influential for more than 30 years. Davidoff’s meticulous and detailed studies led him to be called the father of neuroradiology. He left the Neurological Institute in 1937 when Bryon Stookey became chief, moving...
**Figure 1.50** (A) Harvey Cushing as a dapper young man in training at the Johns Hopkins University, circa 1900. This image comes from an album put together for the Johns Hopkins University faculty that was never published. (B) Harvey Cushing was one of the early pioneers in the transsphenoidal approach to the pituitary and sella regions. It was rumored that Cushing used the hot headlight to effectively keep annoying or nonattentive residents out of the field. (From the author’s personal collection.)

**Figure 1.51** (A) One of Walter Dandy’s greatest contributions to neurosurgery was his work on experimental hydrocephalus. In this drawing he shows his experimental model for developing hydrocephalus in the dog model. (B) These x-ray studies for showing brain lesions were produced in Walter Dandy’s classic studies on ventriculography. In this technique, cerebrospinal fluid was removed and replaced with air, thereby outlining the ventricles on x-ray. (From Dandy WE. Experimental hydrocephalus. *Trans Am Surgical Assoc.* 1919;37:397–428; Dandy WE. Ventriculography following the injection of air into the cerebral ventricles. *Ann Surg.* 1918;68:3–11.)
Medicine, becoming the first chairman of neurosurgery in 1955. Davidoff was a charter member of the Harvey Cushing Society and served as president of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons from 1956 to 1957. His staff described Davidoff as a hard taskmaster, punctual, demanding, and critical. His operating room was meticulous and organized, with no unnecessary sound or speech allowed. Never one to raise his voice, a mere look, even behind a surgical mask, could be a chilling experience for the new house officer or scrub nurse. His legacy remains in more than 200 scientific publications, his pioneering work in neuroradiology, and his total commitment to the highest standards in patient care and resident training.

Besides the pioneering techniques of Dandy, Cushing, and others, a number of diagnostic techniques were introduced whereby the neurosurgeon could localize lesions less haphazardly, thereby shifting the emphasis from the neurologist to the neurosurgeon. One such technique, myelography using opaque substances, was brought forward by Jean Athanase Sicard (1872–1929). With the use of a radiopaque iodized oil, the spinal cord and its elements could be outlined on x-ray. Antonio Caetano de Egas Moniz (1874–1955), Professor of Neurology at Lisbon, perfected arterial catheterization techniques and the cerebral angiogram in animal studies. This work required that a number of iodine compounds be studied, many of which caused convulsions and paralysis in laboratory animals. However, his ideas were sound and by 1927 angiography, used in combination with...
pneumoencephalography, offered the neurosurgeon the first detailed view of the intracranial contents.\textsuperscript{148,149} Ironically Moniz was later awarded the Nobel Prize in medicine in 1949 for his work in psychosurgery and not for his work in cerebral angiography.

In 1929, Alexander Fleming (1881–1955) published a report on the first observation of a substance that appeared to block the growth of a bacterium. This substance, identified as penicillin, heralded a new era of medicine and surgery.\textsuperscript{150} With World War II, antibiotics were perfected in the treatment of bacterial infection, reducing even further the risk of infection during craniotomy.

One area of neurosurgery in which developments in the 20th century clearly outlined the disease, the pathology, and surgical treatment was in hydrocephalus. Walter Dandy and his team researched the etiology of the disorder, and in 1952 Nulsen and Spitz developed a unidirectional valve for the treatment of hydrocephalus. Key to the design was the prevention of reflux and maintaining a unidirectional flow. John Holter (1956) took advantage of the recently introduced silicone rubber to design a valve and a tube system to take CSF from the brain to the heart; in the 1960s literally thousands of these systems were placed. The technology has continued to improve with better valve designs, better implantable materials, and lower morbidity rate for our patients.

A defining moment in operative neurosurgery came with the Nobel Prize–winning work of an engineer by the name of Sir Godfrey Newbold Hounsfield and his design of computer-assisted tomography (CAT).\textsuperscript{151} For the first time a neurosurgeon was able to visualize intracranial pathology by a noninvasive technique. The originals were poor quality grainy images on Polaroid film, but the neurosurgeon now has elegant, high-resolution three-dimensional images with multimodality grids being easily obtained. This pioneering work led to an engineer (not a physician) receiving the first Nobel Prize in medicine in 1979, only 6 years after the publication of his seminal paper in 1973 (Fig. 1.54).

Conclusion

The first half of the 20th century brought the formalization of the field of neurosurgery. In the 1920s, Elsberg, Cushing, and Frazier persuaded the American College of Surgeons to designate neurosurgery as an independent surgical specialty. It has taken some 5000 years of constant study and the experience of generations to make neurosurgery what it is today (Fig. 1.55).

Today the patient entering a neurosurgical operating room can have a painless operation with minimal risk of infection, and surgery will rarely be in the wrong location. Thanks to magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography, the localization of neurologic problems is hardly an issue. Intraoperative computerized localization of brain pathology is rapidly becoming the standard throughout the world. Some provocative forward thinkers feel that neurosurgeons of the 2020s will be mere data engineers inputting into a computerized operation room with robots and in-place scanners. This scenario is a far cry from our Asclepiad fathers, who could only whisper secret incantations, lay on the hands, and provide herbal medicaments that only occasionally worked.
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